

UMass Worcester Mentoring Advisory Board

April 29, 2013 Meeting Minutes

Date/Time: Monday April 29, 2013
11:00a-12:00p

Meeting Location: Island Conference Room, S2-350

<u>MEETING INFORMATION:</u>
<p>Agenda</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I. UMW Mentoring Survey Results Overview II. Illustration of use of survey data to identify mentoring gaps – Faculty Survey Analysis III. Implication based on Mentoring Survey Results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Scholarly Projects b. Development of workgroup teams for subset analysis <p>Attachments: Julia Andrieni: UMW Mentoring Survey Data: Food for Thought</p> <p>Rob Milner: Is there a difference between the current status and the need for mentoring?</p>

<u>INVITEES/ATTENDEES:</u> (Attendees are identified in BOLD)	
<p>Julia Andrieni Carol Bova Joanna Cain Tony Carruthers Deborah DeMarco Jerry Gurwitz Dave Hatem Tony Imbalzano Catarina Kiefe Jean King Dan Lasser</p>	<p>José Lemos Katherine Luzuriaga Pranoti Mandrekar Elaine Martin Bob Matthews Rob Milner Judy Ockene Deborah Plummer Michele Pugnaire Linda Sagor Gyongyi Szabo Luanne Thorndyke</p>

Item #	Statement /Owner	Time Allotted	Comments/Suggestions	Action/Status
1	Julia Andrieni Presentation: UMW Mentoring Survey Data: Food for Thought	35 mins.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Michele Pugnaire commented on the success of UMMS students having mentors and knowing how to find mentors in the Learning Communities structure • Dave Hatem commented on the weekly peer mentoring training of Learning Community members. • Deb DeMarco was not aware of fellowship mentoring training. • Tony Imbalzano commented on the nearly 60% from GSBS who did not complete the survey and the potential of this group increasing mentoring capacity 	

Item #	Statement /Owner	Time Allotted	Comments/Suggestions	Action/Status
1	Julia Andrieni Presentation: UMW Mentoring Survey Data: Food for Thought	35 mins.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Carol Bova commented that the sample size from GSN was variable as some participants came for 1 year to complete a certificate program. Degrees would not be helpful to review. Tony Imbalzano asked about GSBS data by year of training. 	<p>Will work with GSN to better understand data and use of data results.</p> <p>Data analysis by year of training completed.</p>
2	Rob Milner Presentation: Is there a difference between the current status and the need for mentoring?	15 mins.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Carol Bova asked if faculty who expressed that they did not need a mentor already had mentors? Discussion of the “reluctant male” included goal oriented mentoring which was no longer needed once goal was reached. Hypotheses were discussed. 	To check data analysis and exclude faculty who already have mentors.
3	Implication based on Mentoring Survey Results	10 mins.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Carol Bova recommended the use of common statistical packages (SPSS, SAS, State R) for seamless data downloads and analysis from REDCap. Judy Ockene recommended that requests be submitted for the use UMW Mentoring Survey data in order to manage data. Dan Lasser asked if department could have their individual data. Carol Bova mentioned that a webinar could be used to distribute data results with a blog to receive feedback from those who reviewed data results. José Lemos asked about data analysis from minority groups. Carol Bova suggested work groups for 2-3 academic papers utilizing UMW Mentoring Survey data. 	<p>Julia Andrieni to follow-up with Bruce Barton in QHS to explore options.</p> <p>Process to be developed.</p> <p>Currently in process.</p> <p>Julia Andrieni to explore options with Jay Johnson.</p> <p>Currently in progress with Jean King (Micro-Communities) and Pranoti Mandrekar (MAAC)</p>